

Government College of Engineering Aurangabad, Chhatrapati Sambhajinagar

[An Autonomous Institute of Government of Maharashtra]

GECCS MUN CLUB

Engage, Encourage, Empower



Ref. No.: GMC/Core/Report/1001

Date: 03/10/2024

MEGHALAYA MUN 2024 – GECA MUN REPORT

Our incredible GECA MUN team set off for the MMUN 2024 conference with high hopes and determination. Their faculty advisor, Arjumand Khan, provided unwavering support, encouraging them to aim for either a trophy, more knowledge, or both. They were resolute in not returning empty-handed.

The team registered and received their portfolio. Each delegate carefully planned their strategy, ensuring that every move was well thought out. Their approach was methodical, and they had polished their skills to perfection.

Throughout the conference, the GECA MUN team showcased their abilities in diplomacy, critical thinking, and teamwork. Their hard work and dedication were evident as they won awards in every committee they participated in. This impressive achievement highlighted their commitment and excellence.

Returning home, the team proudly carried the slogan, "Manifesting, Moulding and Mastering" reflecting their success and the valuable knowledge they gained from the experience.

<u>Committee:UNSC-CCC</u> <u>Agenda: Present Occupation of the South China Sea</u> <u>Country:Japan</u> <u>Delegate: Anurang Sarda</u>

Day 1:

The inaugural committee session, led by UNSC President Rama Verma, focused on explaining the Rules of Procedure (ROP) for the conference. The committee decided to adopt a structure reflective of the actual UNSC rather than the UNA-USA ROP. This included mandatory opening statements for all delegates, lasting between 2 to 5 minutes, and the use of General and Specialized Moderated Caucuses instead of the usual Moderated Caucus. Points of Information were not permitted, and the use of chits was restricted. Directives and communiques were introduced as part of the committee's framework.

Day 2:

The session commenced late and was managed by various moderators in the president's absence. A crisis scenario was introduced on the first day. Most of the second day was consumed by opening statements, with only one moderated caucus being debated. A second crisis was introduced late in the

day. Numerous directives and communiques were issued. The session concluded abruptly after a 45minute feedback session, during which delegates expressed concerns about the new ROP.

Day 3:

The session began on time, and following the feedback session, the committee reverted to the UNA-USA ROP. Multiple General Speakers Lists (GSLs) and a Moderated Caucus preceded the introduction of a new crisis, which primarily addressed directives submitted by delegates. After lunch, the committee focused on developing solutions and drafting a resolution. Draft Resolution 1.0, authored by Japan, South Korea, and the United Kingdom, was discussed, amended, and passed but was ultimately vetoed by France. Consequently, the committee did not have time to address a subsequent draft resolution.

Summary:

Debates predominantly centered on criticism and defense of Chinese occupation in the South China Sea, while other involved nations were less prominently discussed. The Japanese delegate worked on amending international laws to resolve disputes, while other nations issued statements urging bilateral solutions, which historically have been ineffective. Japanese directives aimed to safeguard its sovereign and economic interests in the South China Sea in collaboration with the USA but were perceived as a breach of foreign policy. Overall, Japan's efforts were commendable.

<u>Committee: DISEC (Disarmament and International Security Committee)</u> <u>Delegates: Devdatta Jahirabadkar and Rahi Gaikwad</u> Portfolio: India

Day 1:

The committee started with the ROP introduction session and introduction to the agenda. Both Cochairs Mr. Ojan Parajuli and Mr. Kunwar Dipankar were from Nepal while the Vice-Chairperson Mr. Syed Rameez Salman was from Assam, all of them introduced the committee its importace and various aspects of the agenda very well. An easier topic was taken as agenda to make sure the committee is familiar with the ROPs.

Day 2:

Day 2 began with the setting of the agenda which was set by the delegates of India itself. The first GSL was given by our delegates only. The first moderated caucus topic was also set by us and it was debated and discussed the topic was "Analyzing the health and environmental impacts of biological, chemical and nuclear weapons". After that both the delegates also spoke on the second moderated caucus topic which was "Discussing the effectiveness and challenges of NPT, TPNW, CWC, BWC, CTBT". During the committee the speech of Pakistan was not noted well by India hence we encountered a kind of setback as we couldn't raise any questions about the same. Next moderated caucus proposed by Malaysia was "Consequences of weapons of mass destruction in conflict zones".

Day 3:

The day was extremely important for us as we needed to overcome yesterdays' setback hence we asked a lot of POIs (Questions) after each speech and gave our comments. The points and questions were

noteworthy and received a lot of appreciation from the EBs. The moderated caucus topic for the day was also raised by us it was titled as, "Non-proliferation: The role of disarmament in preventing future exploitation of post colonial nations." This moderated caucus topic was passed and it was the last one to be discussed. Next we moved to the Draft Resolution. Due to less voted our Resolution could not be discussed, but we made amends and edits to the other draft resolution by France showing our involvement. Also at the end of the committee the Draft Resolution was not passed due to lack of special majority.

Overall both our delegates participated as a Double delegation, made a mark in the committee and represented our country in the best way possible while bringing laurels back by winning the "Best Delegate" award.

<u>Committee: United Nations Office on Drugs and Crime (UNODC)</u> <u>Delegate: Nikita Poduval and Sarvesh Gulhane</u> <u>Portfolio: Sweden and South Africa</u> <u>Agenda: Empowering girls and women: Addressing gender-based violence and</u> <u>preventing Human Trafficking (Agenda 1)</u>

Day 1:

The committee session started with brief introduction on the ROP followed by a detailed explanation on the agendas as the UNODC was a huge committee with almost 70 delegates. This session was crucial, especially for new delegates, as it clarified the formal structure that would guide the committee's discussions. The chairperson for this committee was Aditya Sharma who hails from Delhi and is a lawyer in the Supreme Court of India and the Vice Chairperson was Arijit Baruah who is from Assam and works as a teacher. At the end of the committee on day 1, delegates were reminded to prepare for the next day's session, which would delve into the committee's agenda topics.

Day 2:

The committee began with the opening of the General Speaker's list (GSL). The chair had decided to take the GSL's and moderated caucus topics according to the alphabetical order so that each delegate gives their active participation for the three -day conference. After a few GSL's Moderated caucus topic "Role of education in preventing human trafficking" in which our both delegates got the recognition was discussed and debated. For making the committee session more interesting the chair had asked each delegate to write a speech on the topic "Deliberation upon increase in gender-based trafficking in under developing countries" and submit it to the chair within 10 min. The second mod caucus topic was put forth, the topic being Deliberation on gender-based violence due to usage of drugs". On the second day of the committee our delegates did not get much chance to speak and debate but we made sure that day 3 will be given our maximum efforts.

Day 3:

The committee on this day was very important for both our delegates as on Day 2 both did not get much chance to speak. We made sure to send as many chits and point of information questions as possible. The day started by the continuation of second moderated caucus topic in which the chair gave their appreciation for the Speech given by South Africa. After the 2 moderated caucus, GSL Speeches were reassumed. By the end of all the GSL's, the chair had asked us to prepare a documentation for a press release by giving us a task to include only two framers from the committee. Delegate of sweden

was one for the framer from our delegation and we made sure that all the main points discussed in the committee are included in this documentation. We did not have a Draft Resolution due to time constaints.

Overall, Both our delegates did exceptionally well in the committee by bagging awards: High Commendation: Sarvesh Gulhane and Special Mention: Nikita Poduval

<u>Committee: NSC - Crisis Committee</u> <u>Delegate : Rutuja Zalte</u> <u>Portfolio: Scientific Advisor to Raksha Mantri</u> <u>Agenda: Classified</u>

Day 1 :

Committee session started with Rules of Procedures (ROPs) and introduction to portfolios their respective powers to head the National Security Council and to plan National Security Strategy like other big nations like USA, Russian Federation, and few others. Prime Minister looks towards the expert advice of the NSC for the following :

A possible National Stategic Policy to deal with any future attacks of terrorism and ;

A plan of action to deal with the intelligence reports suggesting a possible attack on the coastal cities. The Executive Board consists of Prime Minister and Crisis director headed by Aisola Hiranmai Ma'am and Avinash Tripathy respectively, explained the ROPs and format of Directives and Communique required in the crisis situation.

Day 2 :

Committee session started with roll call and opening statements and after some time crisis was introduced . On June 15, 2024, armed men stormed the Satish Dhawan Space Centre in Sriharikota, Andhra Pradesh, using four fishing boats for their approach. They compromised classified documents related to India's spy satellites and destroyed the coordinates 13.71990 N, 80.23040 E with explosives. The attacker's identities and affiliations are unknown, and the Indian Navy's increased patrolling in other cities is being cited as a factor in the attack's success. No group has claimed responsibility yet. Then we headed towards the Un-mod to resolve the issue and to start drafting communique . We submitted the document to crisis director.

Day 3 :

Committee started with opening speech where Scientific advisor suggested that there should be signal jammers to be installed at SDSC to prevent leak of document some suggestions were given regarding the foreign policy and possible city of attack. Afterwards new crisis was introduced where the city of attack was found to be Kolkata and issue has been resolved now but there is need of National Strategy Policy. In moderated cauccus different strategies were given by various department and at the end of the committee session National Security Policy and other related documents were submitted.

<u>Committee: UNHRC (United Nations Human Rights Council)</u> <u>Delegate: Sharvani Kulkarni</u> <u>Portfolio: United States of America</u>

Day 1:

The day 1 started with opening ceremony followed by a committee session. The committee began with introduction to the agenda and Rules of Procedure(RoP). The chairperson Ms. Saru Sharma and vice-chairperson Mr. Parthajyoti Roy explained us the RoP's and told about the flow of committee.

Day 2:

The second day began with setting of agenda followed by GSL(General Speakers List). All the delegates including the delegate of USA began with their opening speeches. There were two moderated caucus topics discussed on the second day. The first moderated caucus topic was "Discussion on myths and stigmas surrounding HIV/AIDS". The delegate of USA spoke in moderated caucus and was appreciated by the chairperson for the same. The second moderated caucus topic raised was "Gender equality in terms of treatment in developing countries". The delegate of USA again discussed and debated in the second moderated caucus asking lot of POI's to the delegates of other countries based on their speeches and foreign policies. The day ended by the explanation on working paper by the chairpersons which was to be submitted the next day.

Day 3:

The last day of the conference was the do or die situation in which delegate of USA participated in every discussion and debated on every speech delivered. The moderated caucus topic proposed was "Measures taken to ensure continuity of HIV Services during public healthcare emergencies like COVID-19 pandemic". After the discussion on moderated caucus topic, the working paper was made by France and USA involving majority of delegates supporting us. But the last moment Japan and Pakistan made another working paper but due to lack of majority their working paper failed. Our working paper passed with majority of votes.

The delegate of the USA demonstrated exceptional skill and diplomacy, ultimately securing the Best Delegate award with her outstanding performance.

Our exceptional delegation has set new standards and broken barriers by bagging 7 fantastic awards, showcasing what it truly means to be a part of GECA MUN Club. Let's shine the spotlight on our stellar achievers:

Best Delegate: Devdatta Jahirabadkar - DISEC Best Delegate: Rahi Gaikwad – DISEC Best Delegate: Sharvani Kulkarni - UNHRC High Commendation: Rutuja Zalte - NSC High Commendation: Sarvesh Gulhane - UNODC Special Mention: Anurang Sarda - UNSC CCC Special Mention: Nikita Poduval - UNODC The images attached below shows small glimpses of our GECA Delegation in the MMUN:





Best Regards,

Devdatta Jahirabadkar Secretary-General, Club Head GMC 2024-2025

Chhatrapati Sambhajinagar-431001 Phone: +91-8257057936 Web: https://www.gmcconference.com/